82 Scrankum Road
Howell, New Jersey 07731
February 24, 1976

Dr. Vincent P. Guinn, Ph.D.
Professor of Chemistry
Department of Chemistry
University of California
Irvine, California 92717

Dear Dr. Guinn:

If by jumping to an erroneous conclusion I have offended you with out just cause, as you stated in your most recent letter, then I do want to take this opportunity to offer an appology. However, I must tell you in all honesty that it is not exactly a profound one. I do not attempt to extricate myself from any blame, when I say that you may quite possibley share some of the responsibility for the confusion. If you will, I would ask you to view this misunderstanding from my position.

When I last heard from you (your only letter which was dated June 4, 1975), you stated only that you had a copy of the F.B.I. ES results and made no mention of Dr. Nichols or anyone else. Though wrong, I do not feel my assumption that you obtained them from the F.B.I. was unreasonable. When at length I had received no word from you, I wrote to the F.B.I. and ask for a copy of the documents which you had refered to, to which they replied, that they had never furnished any such documents to you.

The question of honesty did not single out either party in particular, allthough you may be surprised to know that because of past dealings with the F.B.I., I suspected that they might have been up to something. It was obvious however, that based upon the only information which I was able to come by, something was not right.

I realize that in your position of employment, you are probably quite busy but then so are many of us who put in a full days work and then some. Surely I thought, I would have received atleast a postal card as I would certainly have done that much for you had our positions been reversed. Considering the fact that you do have some interest in the case, I could only assume that you looked upon my inquirées as some sort of nuisance and had therefore chosen not to answer any futher letters. Had I only known that the delay was due to your schedule, I could have avoided hurting your feelings and the misunderstanding as well.

As to the considerable amount of information you say that you sent me regarding the JFK bullet fragments and test results, I have yet to receive it in my mail. All that I have

from you is the eight page reprint on NAA which makes no reference whatsoever to the JFK bullet fragments or test results and your letter of June 4, 1975, inwhich your second paragraph makes mention only, of certain elements not detected by the NAA examination. No other correspondence or materials have as yet reached me. If you would still care to send them, I would very much appreciate receiving same.

I have offered my defense as it were and it was not intended to be offensive or insulting. It is simply an expression of one of two individuals who holds a different view of a situation, than the other and whether or not you will chose to write again, I do want you to know that I have made no judgement concerning you. I admitt my error and leave the forgiveness of it, in your hands.

Sincerely,

Emory L Brown, Jr.